



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COP\_MISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.OF Rovi 1450
Alexardina, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,620	02/25/2000	Harlan Sexton	50277-403	7349
7590 . 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
DITTHAVONG & CARLSON , P.C.			VO, LILIAN	
10507 BRADD SUITE A	OCK RD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRFAX, VA	A 22032		2127	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(a)	<b>—</b> ∧			
. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	X			
Office Action Summary		09/512,620	SEXTON ET AL.	U			
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Lilian Vo	2127				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover she t with the c	corr spond nce addr ss				
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	eptember 2003.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	•						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u> </u>					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=					
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		1.			
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	4					
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  Consideration of the foreign language processing the company of the c	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 (st sentence of the specification of the certified copies and received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (st priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application r in an Application Data She ceived. and/or 121 since a specific	et.			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-992) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2127

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on September 10, 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1 - 16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2127

4. Claims 1 – 5, 7 – 13 and 15 - 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiney et al. (US 6,401,109 B1, hereafter referred to Heiney) in view of Li (US 6,519,594 B1).

Regarding claims 1 – 3 and 9 - 11, Heiney discloses a method for serving requests received by a server in a multiple-user environment, the method comprising the steps of: establishing a first session between said server and a first user (fig. 11); establishing a second session between said server and a second user (fig. 11); responding to requests that are received by said server in said first session by executing virtual machine code using a first virtual machine instance (fig. 11); and

responding to requests that are received by said server in said second session by executing virtual machine code using a second virtual machine instance (fig. 11);

wherein said first virtual machine instance and said second virtual machine instance are distinct instances of a same type of virtual machine (fig. 7, 11, col. 8, lines 4 – 36, col. 9, lines 5 - 38);

wherein said first virtual machine instance exists within said server concurrently with said second virtual machine instance (fig. 7 and 11, col. 8, lines 4 - 36, col. 9, lines 5 - 38).

Heiney however did not clearly mention that the virtual machine instances share access to data stored in a shared state area allocated in volatile memory associated with the server. Li discloses of different virtual machines having access to shared memory pool and the sharing of Java classes from the shared memory pool between virtual machine instances in col. 3, lines 20 – 35, col. 10, lines 26 – 50 and fig. 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include Li's teaching to Heiney's

Art Unit: 2127

system to share common Java classes between virtual machines to reduce memory resource overhead required when operating multiple Java Virtual Machines (JVMs) and allow multiple JVM platform to be operable on an embedded computer system (abstract).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Heiney did not clearly show the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, Li discloses the step of plurality of virtual machine instances share readonly access to the data stored in the shared state area allocated in volatile memory within the server (col. 8, line 56 – col. 9, line 15 and col. 10, lines 26 - 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include Li's teaching to Heiney's system to share common Java classes between virtual machines to reduce memory resource overhead required when operating multiple Java Virtual Machines (JVMs) and to provide protection and prevent modification to shared data.

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Li discloses of shared state area stores data associated with an object class in fig. 7 and col. 8, line 56 – col. 9, line 15 and col. 10, lines 26 – 50. However, Heiney and Li did not clearly show the additional limitations as claimed. The Examiner takes an "Official Notice" that both the concept and advantages of providing for each virtual machine has to have each own session-specific memory that stores a value for a static variable associated with object class is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to store each virtual machine instance session-specific memory a value for a static variable associated with the object class to the combined teachings of Heiney and Li because that would retain the same data for each virtual machine after it is created until the call memory is terminated.

Art Unit: 2127

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Heiney discloses the step of responding to a call associated with a particular session with the server by scheduling, for execution in a system thread, the particular virtual machine instance associated with the particular session (col. 2, lines 1 - 17, col. 8, lines 4 - 36 and figs. 7 and 11).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Heiney discloses the step of spawning off a first copy of the Java virtual machine to create a second Java process object and the communication between the two Java process objects are using the same connection (e.g. col. 1, line 50 – col. 2, line 17). Heiney however, did not teach the steps of storing a pointer within said data structure to provide access to the data stored in the shared state area. Li teaches of JVMs sharing access of the Java classes from the shared memory pool (col. 3, lines 20 – 35 and fig. 7) and that each JVM machine has a pointer after it's been created (col. 14, lines 1 –15). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the teaching of Li to Heiney to share access to Java classes in the common memory pool to save memory resource for other usages.

5. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiney et al. (US 6,401,109 B1, hereafter referred to Heiney) in view of Li (US 6,519,594 B1) and further in view of Miner et al (US 6,047,053, hereafter referred to Miner).

Regarding claims 6 and 14, the combined references of Heiney and Li did not clearly show the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, Miner teaches of the virtual machine allocates and deallocates sessions for incoming calls (col. 22, lines 40-58), which inherently allocating and deallocating a memory slot for each call that is associated with the particular

Art Unit: 2127

session. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time

the invention was made, to incorporate Miner's teaching to the combined teachings of Heiney

and Li so that unused memory resource can be utilized more efficient.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6.

disclosure. US 6,324,177 B1, US 5,920,720, US 6,609,153 B1, and US 5,745,703.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 703-305-7864. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Lilian Vo

Examiner

Art Unit 2127

lv

December 31, 2003

Mungty

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100